

# Locally Quasiconnected Toposes

Marta Bunge

September 11, 2006

## Abstract

We introduce a *geometric* and a *logical* notion of *locally quasiconnected* topos, by weakening, and further weakening, that of a locally connected topos. Each has a distinctive feature which, in the locally connected case, agree [2].

**Definition 0.1** Denote by  $\mathbf{Loc}_0$  the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{Loc}$  whose objects are the 0-dimensional locales, in the sense of the pure sublocales of Stone locales in  $\mathcal{S}$  [2].

1. A topos  $f : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is said to be *logically locally quasiconnected (llqc)* if the canonical functor  $F^* : \mathbf{Loc}_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$  has an  $\mathcal{S}$ -indexed left adjoint  $F_!$ .
2. A topos  $f : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is said to be a *geometrically locally quasiconnected (glqc)* topos if it is llqc and furthermore the canonically induced  $\rho : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Sh}(F_!1)$  is a hyperpure surjection [1].

**Theorem 0.2** Denote by  $\mathbf{T}$  be the full sub 2-category of  $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{S}}$  whose objects are the llqc toposes. The pair  $(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{Loc}_0)$  is an instance of a *complete extensive topos doctrine* [3]. The *comprehensive factorization* that arises from it gives an alternative construction of the (hyperpure, Michael complete spread) factorization [1] when restricted to geometric morphisms whose domains  $\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$  are definable dominances [2]. The new feature is the involvement of a notion of 0-distribution.

**Remark 0.3** Let  $\mathbf{T}^*$  be the full sub 2-category of  $\mathbf{Top}_{\mathcal{S}}$  whose objects are the glqc toposes. The pair  $(\mathbf{T}^*, \mathbf{Loc}_0)$  is not an instance of an extensive topos doctrine. On the other hand, the interpretation, for a glqc topos  $f : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , of the induced  $F_! : \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Loc}_0$  as the “locale of quasicomponents functor”, is correct in this case.

(This is work in progress, joint with Jonathon Funk.)

## References

- [1] M. Bunge and J. Funk. Quasicomponents in topos theory: the hyperpure, complete spread factorization. *Mat. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 141(0), 2006.
- [2] M. Bunge and J. Funk. *Singular Coverings of Toposes*, volume 1890 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg-Berlin-New York, 2006.
- [3] M. Bunge and J.Funk. Extensive topos doctrines. In preparation.