

July 30, 2019

**ECO 6122: Microeconomic Theory IV**

Economics Department  
University of Ottawa

final exam

Time allotted: 3 hours

Professor: Louis Hotte

*NB This questionnaire has 3 pages.*

**1. (20 points) Consumer preference relations**

Let vector  $\vec{x}$  denote a consumption bundle, with  $\vec{x} \in X = \mathfrak{R}_+^n$ . Let  $\succsim$  denote the binary preference relations between any two bundles such that  $\vec{x}^1 \succsim \vec{x}^2$  implies that bundle 1 is at least as good as bundle 2.

- a) Which property of the preference relations implies a diminishing marginal rate of substitution (MRS) between two goods? Explain with the help of a graph. Make sure to explain properly what diminishing MRS means.

**2. (40 points) Consumer theory**

Suppose that a consumer's welfare depends on the quantities of agricultural goods  $x_1$  and manufactured goods  $x_2$  that she consumes. Suppose more precisely that her utility level can be represented by the following utility function:

$$u(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - \alpha_1)^{1-\theta}(x_2 - \alpha_2)^\theta,$$

where  $\alpha_i$  are positive parameter values and  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . The respective prices of the goods are  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . The consumer's income is  $y$ .

- a) (5) Write down the consumer's problem.
- b) (10) Express the Lagrangian function for this problem and give the first-order conditions.
- c) (15) Derive the *indirect utility function*. (Explain briefly your steps. If you don't, I can't give much partial marks in case you make algebraic mistakes.)
- d) (5) Derive the (ordinary) demand functions.
- e) (5) If you were provided with the indirect utility function only, how would proceed to find this consumer's demand for manufactured goods?

**3. (40 points) The Nash equilibrium in a soccer penalty kick<sup>1</sup>**

Consider the penalty kick in soccer. There are two players, the goalie and the striker. The striker has three strategies: kick to the goalie's right (R), to the goalie's left (L) or to the center (C). The goalie has three strategies: move left (L), move right (R) or stay in the center (C). Let  $\alpha$  be the probability that the kick is stopped when both choose L and let  $\beta$  be the probability that the kick is stopped when both choose R. Assume that  $0 < \alpha < \beta < 1$ . Consequently, the striker is more skilled at kicking to the goalie's left. If both choose C, the goalie stops the ball with certainty. The payoff matrix is as follows.

		Striker		
		L	C	R
Goalie	L	$\alpha, 1 - \alpha$	0, 1	0, 1
	C	0, 1	1, 0	0, 1
	R	0, 1	0, 1	$\beta, 1 - \beta$

Figure 1: The penalty kick in soccer

- (5) Is there a pure strategy Nash equilibrium for this game? Justify briefly.
- (20) Let  $q_L, q_C, q_R$  be the probabilities that the striker plays  $L, C, R$  respectively. Let  $p_L, p_C, p_R$  be the probabilities that the goalie plays  $L, C, R$  respectively. Find a mixed-strategy Nash equilibrium (MSNE) for which both players will play each of the three strategies with strictly positive probability. Briefly explain your steps.
- (10) In the MSNE that you have found above, which of the three strategies will be played with lowest probability by the goalie? Interpret briefly why.
- (5) Let  $\alpha = 0.4$  and  $\beta = 0.6$ . Calculate the probability that the striker will score a goal under the MSNE that you found. Briefly explain your procedure.

---

<sup>1</sup>This is a modified version of problem 7.13 in Jehle and Reny (2011).

**4. (40 points) Uncertainty and the VNM utility function<sup>2</sup>**

Consider the quadratic VNM utility function  $U(w) = a + bw + cw^2$ .

- a) (10) What restrictions if any must be placed on parameters  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  for this function to display risk aversion?
- b) (10) Over what domain of wealth can a quadratic VNM utility function be defined?
- c) (20) Given the gamble

$$g = ((1/2) \circ (w + h), (1/2) \circ (w - h)),$$

show that  $CE < E(g)$  and that  $P > 0$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Problem 2.25 in Jehle and Reny (2011).